

SECURITY INFORMATION

1 December 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR: Colonel Hansen

SUBJECT: ORR Report (Attached)

1. Attached is the ORR report in its revision after our discussions between ORR and OIC. It seems to us that this is a very good report. We have, on the carbon copy, made some notations which we think should be taken into account in any future revision.

2. Here are some additional suggestions:

a. It may be desirable to take the third paragraph of the Summary out of this context and discuss it in the over-all introductory statement to the section. We are planning to write a new draft of that and will consider it then.

b. It is our feeling that at the appropriate place in the paper there needs to be defined the conception of the whole of Government economic intelligence and where other agencies and ORR fit. This is believed necessary because although we promise we are doing a lot of coordinating and imply that no one is duplicating anyone else the reader is not satisfied entirely. I have in mind that one could point out that State is still providing economic intelligence in respect of trends throughout the world, that Air, Army and Navy are doing departmental economic intelligence to support specific departmental needs and that ORR in its research production (as opposed to coordination) is not duplicating these since it is operating in research areas in which the community agrees it ought to be.

c. There probably should be a paragraph which gives some notation of the fields (not listing divisions as such) which make up ORR's basic research program. This might include mention of actual or contemplated TO strength.

3. Would it not be better to treat all of Section III, Part 4, by subject rather than by office:

- a. Collection and Dissemination;
- b. Economic Intelligence (rather than ORR);
- c. Scientific Intelligence (rather than OSI);

*Reports - Annual*

~~SECRET~~  
SECURITY INFORMATION

- d. Current Intelligence (rather than OCI); and
- e. Other production such as NIS and geographic.

This does not mean that these papers that have been written would be discarded or even materially altered, but it would mean that the reader would get a functional impression rather than an organizational one in respect to production. Incidentally the whole of Part 4 should be considered "production" rather than "processing."

4. We have some reservations on ORR's Problem 2 both as to what is said and how it is said, and want to have a chance to discuss it further at the appropriate time.

25X1A9a

  
Assistant Director  
Intelligence Coordination

Attached (ORR Report)

cc Mr. Millikan